

Improve the Event Resolution Process

Top 10 Requirements Identified

*The Foundation of ITSM and
Business Service Management*

Contents

Abstract

This study explores the existing event resolution processes in over 100 companies with 1,000 or more employees. The findings suggest many IT organizations have a low level of satisfaction with the current event resolution processes and applications. These processes and applications are responsible for ensuring the company does not suffer severe IT service outages. 76% of the participants identified significant gaps in the existing processes including poor event assignment, misdirected events due to inaccurate data, reactivity, human error and denial of receipt. The study also identified the components required in an effective interactive alerting application mitigating the current process gaps.

Table of Contents

<i>Contents</i>	2
Abstract	2
Table of Contents	2
<i>Introduction</i>	3
Concept Introduction	3
Study Overview	3
<i>Results of Study</i>	4
Current Process Satisfaction	4
Is the Current Process Adequate or Inadequate?	4
If Inadequate, How is it Performed Today?	5
Interpreting the Results	6
Requirements for Addressing Today's Needs	6
<i>Study Conclusions</i>	8
Business Support	8
Analyst Support	9
<i>In Summary</i>	9

Introduction

Concept Introduction

This paper was written to provide business professionals with a brief introduction to the strategic benefits associated with an effective alignment between IT services and the business processes IT supports. In order to succeed in this complicated initiative, mobile IT personnel must be enabled to perform their duties from remote locations via multiple communication devices. While the ultimate goal of IT service alignment and business process alignment may be the same as it was ten years ago, the business climate, the complexity of IT operations and the mobility of today's staff must be continuously examined in order to achieve business service alignment. IT operations must adapt faster with more strategic direction than any other business unit within an enterprise to remain effective.

This paper examines the necessary requirement for Event Notification and Resolution applications. While most IT organizations have monitoring and trouble ticketing applications in place, they are still missing a key component. Interactive alerting applications bridge the gap between the IT applications and the personnel who can solve IT events, thereby ensuring IT services continue uninterrupted.

Study Overview

AlarmPoint Systems performed a survey of over 100 IT professionals in companies with more than 1,000 employees. The sample was taken from a population of 6,000 companies which resulted in a 95% confidence level in the sample. The field included IT staff, IT management, network management, help desk management, and other IT professionals. Of the professionals in the study 80% were from the United States with an even distribution of the remaining respondents in Europe and Asia.

Key business concepts under study:

- *Is the cost of an IT outage known?*
- *Is the process for solving critical outages or events aligned with the business needs?*
- *Where are the current gaps in the event resolution process?*
- *What are the most common issues with event dispatch, notification, user notification, help desk information and the event resolution process?*
- *And, lastly what are the requirements of a technology or a process that would assist in mitigating the risks of the current processes employed?*

Results of Study

Current Process Satisfaction

Of the 109 participants in the study the vast majority, 78%, have not quantified the business costs of a severe outage. While this may seem surprising, most companies use figures supplied by third party research firms such as IDC or Gartner to gauge the costs of an outage. While 92% of the participants believe that the assets and services being monitored are “mission critical” to their company’s revenue stream, a surprisingly high 86% are currently dissatisfied with the current event resolution process. The qualification questions for the study suggest that enterprises realize that the IT components being monitored are important, even critical, yet the company does not understand the “true” costs of an interruption of service. Furthermore, the vast majority of the IT professionals surveyed do not believe their processes and systems are adequate in solving events in their current environment.

Is the Current Process Adequate or Inadequate?

It is clear from the responses that the perception is the process is important, the assets and revenues being involved are critical to the success of the business, yet the current processes and technologies deployed are not adequate. Participants were surveyed for the most common issues involved in the resolution process resulting in the following findings:

- 18% characterized the processes as inadequate because the organization was reactive not proactive (i.e., most events were not detected, dispatched and fixed prior to users calling into a Help Desk or Operations call center)
- 13% cited poor event assignment and dispatch often due to a break down in the process, poor quality of dispatch data or a lack of detection by staff
- 13% felt events detected were often misdirected due to incorrect data in the help desk
- 13% cited human error as the largest factor in the dispatch and resolution process causing delays and costly rework
- 11% felt the process really depended on the user community calling into the help desk as the primary method of event detection
- 9% noted that often the field staff responsible for fixing the event, when dispatched, denied receiving the event

In summary, 76% of the respondents felt the process was inadequate because the organization is reactive, uses inadequate data for dispatch, lacks a process which supports an audit trail and is susceptible to human error.


If Inadequate, How is it Performed Today?

Given the responses and the gaps in the current processes the study then focused on gaining an understanding of the event dispatch and resolution process as it currently exists. Interestingly, most of the respondents report a passive, reactive process used to deal with IT events. The passive and reactive nature of the responses further supports the conclusions reached above that the process is neither proactive nor aligned with the business processes.

The population of study participants was polled for current dispatch and resolution processes with the following results:

- 29% use one way electronic mail to dispatch events
- 27% use manual call outs from the help desk to dispatch help crews
- 19% use a duty pager system which is handed off to whoever is “on duty”
- 16% use manual call out from the data center operations team
- 9% use a third party alerting tool

Of the participants, 29% use a “set and forget” mentality with automated email events, nearly 20% have a similar “set and forget” process with duty pagers and over 40% use manual call outs to dispatch IT personnel (either from the help desk or from data center operations).



Interpreting the Results

Complicated Issue: Economic trends worldwide continue to suppress IT staffing to minimum levels. In many cases, IT still remains a cost-center in the eyes of executive management. Further complicating the issues, the IT infrastructure has never been so dispersed or complicated. Business is increasingly relying on the systems and processes of today's IT professionals. The combination of these environmental factors, combined with poor process design, is pushing many corporate IT organizations to the brink of financial disaster.

Given these environmental challenges and combining the results of study helps us draw several important inferences:

- Operations today are using set and forget dispatch methods that are passive and lack audit trails.
- Recipients of alerts can deny receipt because systems being used are one-way and passive.
- Help Desks and Operations have inaccurate data to dispatch personnel (contact information is not correct).
- Help Desks and Operations depend on customer complaints to dispatch personnel to events (reactive).
- Manual dispatch is still a common, pervasive dispatch method.
- Automated dispatch is not currently working (i.e., the wrong pager buzzes or the wrong person has the wrong pager).

Requirements for Addressing Today's Needs

Based on these results, participants were asked to rank the factors they felt were requirements in a successful event resolution application. The participants were asked to rank features, functions and processes required to successfully address the inadequate processes used today. The results were as follows:

1. **The recipient of the alert must be able to take a remote action.** This requirement allows the user to take an action, log information to another system (e.g., post the person's name, time and date to a help ticket), attempt to cure at that moment in time (e.g., allocate more memory to a server having a utilization issue), etc.
2. **The solution must allow for any device to be used.** This requirement seems to be seeded in two issues. The first is moving away from a fixed device or duty pager which is highly inflexible. The second is to help remove some of the manual dispatch (i.e., a human can dial a phone and dispatch someone). Devices cited as important included Paging, Text Phones, Voice to Phone, Cell Phones, Home Phones, Instant Messaging, Electronic Mail, Public Address and GSM/SMS devices (including RIM).
3. **The solution must be easy to implement and integrate.** Given the complexity of today's IT stacks this is a fairly obvious requirement. Enterprises continue to deal with cross-stack integration issues. Additionally, in order to deploy and start measuring a return on investment, building out sophisticated integrations is key to the success or failure of the initiative.
4. **Web-based, self-service.** The application must support current technologies and provide for user self-service. Why? Because the best chance at accurate data is to provide those closest to the data the ability to keep it current. Web-based provides access and removes the administrative burden of maintaining schedules for hundreds of personnel.

5. **Alert Subscription / Business Rule Support.** Currently in most IT organizations the assignment of an event or a range of potential events takes place within the monitoring system or within the help desk. In other words if “event 123” takes place on “server ABC” then alert the following person. Those rules are maintained by a few administrators and often it is difficult to maintain and ensure the data and rules are complete and updated. Alert Subscription in an event resolution system takes the opposite approach. In this process the recipient group of personnel “subscribe” themselves to the events and thresholds they believe to be of interest in the event resolution process. Then as events take place, they are sent into an application from a monitoring system or from a help desk without an assigned person or group of personnel. The event resolution application matches business rules or subscribed events based on criteria and alerts the personnel. Once the event is “accepted” it is then posted back to the host who has responsibility and the estimated time to fix. This allows for higher accuracy of event to personnel dispatch, reduces system administration on the monitoring applications and pushes responsibility out to the lowest levels.
6. **Auditing and Reporting.** In order to ensure compliance with the process, full auditing and security features must be included in a solution.
7. **Escalation Support.** Ultimately the key to the puzzle is events must be solved. Escalation facilities are required to ensure somebody takes responsibility and solves the issue. And, if time has elapsed and has not been solved, then sophisticated rules should be supported to facilitate the escalation process.
8. **Ability to Customize the User Interface.** Many participants cited the requirement to be able to customize the user interface based on the role, responsibility or department of the user.
9. **Self-Service for Non IT “FYI” users.** The ability to allow “customers” of IT services or tangential departments to receive proactive information for interruption to business services or restoration of service. While this is a current initiative in many IT organizations it is often cost-prohibitive due to the manual processes used for dispatch and the poor data in the applications today. An effective, well-architected alerting system should be able to address this requirement.
10. **Voice capability for outbound notifications and inbound calls.** Even with the proliferation of text based devices, confirmation and real-time interactions are still requirements that keep the telephone a powerful tool in the event resolution process.

Study Conclusions

The requirements for an interactive event notification application should be driven from the current issues identified with the inadequate processes used today. Much of today's event resolution process is reactive, manual or a "set and forget" process. This has resulted in a process that does not ensure the problems are detected, routed and solved.

While the alignment of IT processes with business functions is critical to a company's IT strategy, clearly many organizations operate reactively with gaps in their existing resolution process and systems to deal with events when a component or service fails or is interrupted. Event resolution systems which meet the requirements listed by the study's participants have a straightforward, immediate payback to an organization - in many cases within 30-60 days of deployment.

Business Support

An AlarmPoint manufacturing and retail customer in the UK was concerned about their ability to respond to IT service outages. Because most of their customer orders are placed on the website, the company realized that an outage would severely impact their revenue. How could they minimize the risk of an outage? Ensure that system events would not slip through undetected? Understand the cost of lost revenue and the need for uptime? Turning to an AlarmPoint reseller in the UK, the company worked with AlarmPoint to quantify the cost of downtime, operational savings and the ROI of implementing AlarmPoint Enterprise.

Customer realized savings from these sources:

- > Tangible reduction in dispatch costs
- > Increased accuracy of dispatch
- > Decreased average time-to-fix service outages
- > Reduced on-staff technician requirements
- > Reduced event re-work
- > Avoided potential revenue loss

First year ROI was in excess of £ 2,500,000, rising to 5,000,000 in year two and continuing thereafter. **The AlarmPoint payback was approximately 30 days!**

Analyst Support

In recent years IT analysts have become more aware of the needs and requirements of IT professionals in the areas of proactive event resolution. Consider the following excerpts from published findings:

- “Real-time alerts, notifications and reminders are the basis for dynamic, adaptable business operations.” – Burton Group
- “A two-way intelligent notification system can increase mobile employee productivity and can be an integral part of a unified enterprise communications solution. – Frost and Sullivan
- “Improvement of event management processes should not only address how notification bridges the gap between business-critical IT services and assigned staff, but the need for increasing productivity and efficiency by avoiding event overload, and expanding notifications to a new audience of business process partners and customers with event subscriptions.” – Debra Curtis, Gartner Group

Further in a research note, Gartner specifically identified two key issues within Enterprise Systems Management as follows:

- How will IT organizations meet the challenge of managing the evolving, distributed enterprise?
- How will IT organizations use network and systems management to achieve enterprise-wide server level objectives?

Gartner identified NSM (network systems management) Notification Systems as the answer citing that NSM products are not designed to solve this problem and do not provide closed-loop applications. Gartner recommends considering several factors when choosing a system including New Communication Standards and Technologies, considering the “expanded audience” for notifications (outside traditional IT), allowing users and groups to “subscribe” to notifications, and looking at applications that support global locations. Their bottom line: “For enterprises with business-critical processes that rely on IT infrastructure, an investment in closed-loop urgent notification adds insurance that the IT operations group will receive critical alerts.”

In Summary

In today’s high pressure IT environment, undetected and unresolved events in a complex IT infrastructure are costly to the enterprise. Most enterprises attempt to deal with issues in a reactive method using inadequate event systems, manual processes and inaccurate data. The combination of these factors will result in severe consequences for hundreds of firms this year, many of whom participated in this study. Current, web-based, interactive notification applications are a key component of an effective event resolution strategy. The payback on these systems is intuitive, tangible and expedient.



About AlarmPoint

AlarmPoint is an enterprise-wide notification and event resolution platform that centralizes all system events through easily scalable, repeatable processes. The AlarmPoint product suite is built to automate event resolution by managing the needs of today's mobile workforce – roles, skills, location, language, schedule, contact details, groups and more -- with self-service personalization, event assignment and subscription for any and all users. Designed to filter, suppress and enrich important events, route those events to the right person on any communication device and give the person the ability to solve, escalate or enlist others to resolve, AlarmPoint bridges the gap between business-critical IT services and relevant human resources.

About AlarmPoint Systems

Founded in 2000, AlarmPoint Systems enables leading enterprises to maximize their investment in IT service management, intelligently manage the operational realities that affect business continuity, and ensure availability and compliance with reporting on service exceptions, rather than routinely monitoring systems. With a network of partner relationships such as HP, BMC and IBM, AlarmPoint tools are now managing complex notification and resolution installations globally, including AT&T, Bank of America, Barclays Global Investors, BHP, British Telecom, Cable & Wireless, Consumers Energy, Deutsche Bank, McKesson, and the U.S. Treasury. AlarmPoint Systems is headquartered in Pleasanton, California with European operations based in Richmond, Surrey, U.K.

To learn more, contact:

AlarmPoint Systems, Inc.
Corporate Headquarters
4457 Willow Road, Suite 220
Pleasanton, CA 94588
www.alarmpoint.com